Interview Summary	09/441,055	OSODA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTIAN L. FRONDA	1652
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) CHRISTIAN L. FRONDA (Mortan C) UNIO	(3) <u>Masahiro Yamanashi</u> .	mg.
(2) <u>Vincent K. Shier</u>	(4)	V
Date of Interview: 24 February 2009.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☑ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>31,35,41-43,45,46,48,51 and 56-59</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Michaeli et al, Parso et al., Malumbres et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
/Christian L Fronda/		

Application No.

09/441,055

Applicant(s)

USUDA ET AL.

Application No. 09/441,055

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A signed copy of the IDS filed 05/05/2008 will be mailed to applicants in view of the request for consideration of references filed 05/05/2008. Applicants presented proposed claim language to claims 56 and 57 to overcome the rejection of the claims under 112, 2nd paragraph. Proposed claim amendment to claim 31 was discussed to overcome the 103 obviousness rejection. Additionally, it was proposed to applicants to provide evidence in an appropriate declaration showing unexpected results and/or evidence that contradicts or teaches away from the combination of references to overcome the 103 obviousness rejection of record.